

NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ  
HOME NEWS

e. 46,171

S. 45,794

Front Page	Edit Page	Other Page

Date NOV 17 1964

FOIAb3b

### Sees U.S. Insecurity

To the Editor:

Recently you insinuated that because of the results of the presidential election we could all now sleep peacefully at night. Is this true in spite of the fact that the Russians are rattling newer and larger nuclear missiles for visiting Red Chinese leaders; Russian Defense Minister Malinovsky is accusing the United States of threatening world peace; Communist China is now capable of producing nuclear explosions; Cuba, 90 miles from our coastline, has missiles capable of reaching our mainland and Russian advisers are still present in that country? Should we feel secure even though Viet Cong soldiers can come and go as they please in South Viet Nam and are capable of destroying 27 planes and numerous soldiers in one raid?

In the past year, Allen and Scott who write the column "Inside Washington" which appears on your editorial page have reported numerous incidents of aid and appeasement by the present administration toward the Communists. Recently they reported that the CIA has sent dozens of reports to the president of arms buildups in many countries including Bolivia in South America. Still we supply hard-earned tax money to the Reds. The above reporters showed how American money ended up in the hands of the North Vietnamese and was used for purchasing weapons for killing our "advisers." We're still supplying millions of tax-paid dollars to President Sukarno of Indonesia who recently had a friendly visit with Red Chinese Premier Chou En-Lai and who has been battling our allies, the English, in Malasia. Even the wheat supplied to Russia reportedly ended up in Cuba.

Should we feel secure in the fact that the State Department admits that all U.S. embassies and legations in Communist countries have been bugged? That we allow captured spies to return home without trial simply because we fear offending Communistic leaders and jeopardizing negotiations, negotiations in which we seem to gain nothing? That the United States Supreme Court ruled against praying in public schools and seems to rule in favor of Communists in most if not all cases?

If might be well for you and all your subscribers to read the paperback book, "A Choice Not An Echo" by Phyllis Schlafly, Pere Marquette Press, Alton, Illinois. This book, selling for 75 cents, reveals how a few millionaires rule the country by manipulating the elections for their purposes of making money at the expense of the voters. It shows how continuation and actual support of Communism is to their best interests and how this is achieved. Scott and Allen reported last week that a group of leading businessmen with the blessing of the State Department are traveling to Moscow for the direct purpose of stimulating trade between Russia and the U.S. This fits in exactly with the accusations contained in the above book.

The November edition of the Reader's Digest contains a story of communistic gain of key positions in Brazilian federal departments and agencies. It tells how close that country came to Moscow domination. Are we all so naive as to believe that this is not happening in our country even though numerous subversives have been reported in government agencies? Are we to believe that a Castro-like take-over of the U.S. could not possibly occur? Perhaps we're all too engrossed in worrying about the loss of our socialistic benefits and civil rights to see the real danger of Communism.

ANDREW WELTER  
Highland Park,

CPYRGHT